TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 1432

EVAPORATION, HEAT TRANSFER, AND VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION

IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL AND ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL

LAMINAR BOUNDARY-LAYER FLOW

By Nils Frossling

Translation of "Verdunstung, Wärmeübergang und Geschwindigkeitsverteilung bei zweidimensionaler und rotationssymmetrischer
laminarer Grenzschichtströmung." Lunds Universitets
Arsskrift, N.F. Avd. 2, Bd. 36, Nr. 4 Kungi.

Fysiografiska Sällskapets Handlingar,
N.F. Bd. 51, Nr. 4, 1940.



Washington February 1958

EMPO

AFL 2511

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 1432

EVAPORATION, HEAT TRANSFER, AND VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION
IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL AND ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL
LAMINAR BOUNDARY-LAYER FLOW*

By Nils Frossling

INTRODUCTION

Aside from the simple case of the plane, no quantitative calculations of the evaporation of a body in a moving medium exist so far. The heat transfer, which under certain circumstances (see p. 4) follows the same laws, has been treated theoretically for the cylinder by Kroujiline (ref. 1) and Squire (ref. 2). For boundary-layer flow, Kroujiline used for the temperature field a power-series method of the same type which has been introduced for velocities by Pohlhausen (ref. 3). Because of this stipulation of the profile form, the result must be approximate, and the eventual agreement with the correct value is rather accidental. Squire gave an exact treatment of the transfer in the immediate proximity of the stagnation point. However, it is of great interest to have a calculation method for heat and mass transfer in the entire boundary layer, the error of which depends only on the work expenditure of the numerical calculation and, therefore, not on possible approximative formulations. Even though the calculation is time consuming, one has the advantage of being able to check approximate and more rapid methods with respect to this solution. Under the supposition that the constants of the problem (shape of body, pressure distribution, etc.) may possibly be eliminated from the equations to be solved, it is also possible in several cases to use the complete exact solution directly. The author of this report perfected, for this reason, the exact solutions for the temperature and concentration fields. Two dimensional and rotationally symmetrical steady boundary-layer flows were treated. The latter case is the more complicated one because of the form of the continuity equation.

^{*&}quot;Verdunstung, Warmeubergang und Geschwindigkeitsverteilung bei zweidimensionaler und rotationssymmetrischer laminarer Grenzschichtströmung." Lunds Universitets Arsskrift, N.F. Avd. 2, Bd. 36, Nr. 4 Kungl. Fysiografiska Sällskapets Handlingar, N.F. Bd. 51, Nr. 4, 1940.

NACA IM 1432

For the calculation of the transfers, however, the velocity fields must be known. For the two-dimensional case one has, aside from approximate methods of solution by Pohlhausen (ref. 3), Karman and Millikan (ref. 4), and others, the method of Blasius (ref. 5) and Hiemenz (ref. 6) which was improved by Howarth (ref. 7). By means of this method one may solve the equations, without any arbitrary assumptions regarding velocity profile and the like, by power-series development from the stagnation point up to an arbitrary point on the meridian curve. The work expenditure depends on the required accuracy and on the position of that point. Since the development becomes very rapidly more cumbersome with the distance from the stagnation point, it is appropriate to use, from a certain point onward, a continuation method, for instance, according to Prandtl (ref. 8) and Görtler (ref. 9). Howarth (ref. 7) transformed the functions of Blasius and Hiemenz into functions of such a type that the constants disappear from the equations so that the numerical solutions for them may be applied to any two-dimensional flow. He treated the symmetrical as well as the unsymmetrical case, and indicates the solution of one of these functions. For the present investigation, Howarth's functions are used in the two-dimensional case. Since the accuracy of Howarth's numerical tables is not sufficient for the calculation of the transfer. a new numerical calculation was made of certain functions. For the three-dimensional rotationally symmetrical case, in contrast, there exists, so far, no calculation of the functions of the series development. Because of the modified continuity equation, other systems of equations must be used, and these systems are established here. The necessary functions are numerically calculated. Although the form of the meridian curve takes effect through the continuity equation. one can proceed in the distribution of the functions in such a manner that the constants of the meridian equation disappear, and the solutions therefore are valid not only for arbitrary pressure distribution but also for arbitrary shape of the body of revolution. The continuation method. beginning with the limit of validity of the broken-off power series, has been perfected also for this case.

An investigation is carried out regarding the validity of the law, stated, for instance, by Ulsamer (ref. 10) that the Nusselt number is proportional to the cube root of the Prandtl number.

A few approximation methods for the calculation of the transfer layer are discussed.

Only a brief survey is presented here since a more detailed report is to be given in a later paper.

NACA TM 1432

THE FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS

With dimensioned quantities, the boundary-layer equations for flow, concentration, and temperature read

3

Concerning the derivation of the two last equations for the rotationally symmetrical case see p. 15-16. The boundary conditions are

$$\begin{cases} y = 0; & u = v = 0; & t = t_0; & c = c_m; \\ y = \infty; & u = U; & t = 0; & c = 0. \end{cases}$$

In these equations the customary designations of the various quantities are used. x = distance along the body surface from the stagnation point to the base point of the normal to the body surface. y = length of that normal. r = distance from base point to axis of rotation. u, v = velocity components in the direction of x and y, respectively. U = the velocity component parallel to the body surface immediately outside of the boundary layer (calculated from the experimentally determined pressure distribution). t = excess of the temperature of the surface over the temperature of the undisturbed fluid. c = corresponding concentration quantity. v = kinematic viscosity,

a = temperature diffusivity $\left(=\frac{\lambda}{\rho c_p}\right)$. Δ = diffusion coefficient. If

Un is the undisturbed velocity and D a characteristic length of the body (for instance, its diameter), one can transform the equation into dimensionless form by dividing the velocities, lengths, temperatures, and concentrations by the quantities Uo, D, to, and cm. These equations contain as constants, among others, the Reynolds number Re = WD This number one may eliminate by modifying the scale of the boundary layer in transverse direction, by multiplying the values of y and $\mathring{\mathbf{v}}$ by $\sqrt{\text{Re}}$. The equations used below with the designation "dimensionless equations without Reynolds numbers" are changed in their appearance, compared to those mentioned above, only in that ν disappears, and Δ and a are replaced by $\frac{\Delta}{\nu}$ and $\frac{a}{\nu}$. In the boundary conditions to and c_m are replaced by 1. The two quantities $\frac{\Delta}{v}$ and $\frac{a}{v}$ which are often independent of pressure and temperature, as in the case of ideal gases, are dependent on the media used. These quantities are called Stanton's numbers. Frequently their inverse values are used, designated as Prandtl numbers. In an earlier report of the author on the evaporation of drops (ref. 11) the designation o is used for the Stanton number. Since at present this letter is used mostly for the Prandtl numbers, this definition is employed in the present report to prevent misunderstandings. Thus σ here signifies: $\sigma = \frac{\nu}{\Delta}$ or, respectively, $= \frac{\nu}{a} = \frac{\nu c_{po}}{\lambda}$.

The equations for temperature and concentration are therefore identical when t and c are interchanged. For the temperature-boundary layer it is assumed, however, that the dissipation and the heat generated by change in pressure may be neglected. This assumption is satisfied for not-too-large velocities (ref. 12). The equations also presuppose that the velocities be small compared to sonic velocity in order to make the compressibility negligible. A further limitation of the equations is given by the fact that the differences in concentration and temperature must not be so large that the constant characteristics of the media vary from point to point. Because of the identical form of the two equations for temperature and concentration, which is thus satisfied under these presuppositions, both may be treated simultaneously. In the following equations one may, therefore, immediately interchange the quantities c and t.

In the search for a solution which satisfies the accuracy requirements discussed in the introduction, the method of power-series development in x was used. Breaking off the power series after a certain number of terms one was able to use this solution from the stagnation point up to a point the position of which was dependent on the accuracy requirements. Starting from this point one could then use for the layers of different types step-by-step continuation methods.

For the sake of brevity, we shall use below the common name "transfer" boundary layer for the temperature and for the concentration-boundary layer.

POWER-SERIES DEVELOPMENTS IN x

A. TWO DIMENSIONAL CASE

- a. Flow Boundary Layer
 - 1. Symmetrical case

As was mentioned in the introduction, this case has been treated by Blasius, Hiemenz, and Howarth. This report uses for the most part the same designations as Howarth. The only difference is that Howarth's quantities F_{ν} are here replaced by the quantities ψ_{ν} because the capital letters are more suitable for the functions of the transfer boundary layer.

In order to replace the two unknown quantities $\,u\,$ and $\,v\,$ by a single one (ψ) , the following conditions satisfying the continuity equation are set up as usual:

$$u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \psi}$$
 $v = -\frac{\partial x}{\partial \psi}$

The first flow equation then becomes (with dimensionless quantities without Reynolds numbers)

$$\frac{\partial^{\lambda}}{\partial h} \frac{\partial^{\lambda} \partial^{\lambda}}{\partial h^{\lambda}} - \frac{\partial^{\lambda}}{\partial h} \frac{\partial^{\lambda} \partial^{\lambda}}{\partial h^{\lambda}} = \Omega \Omega_{1} + \frac{\partial^{\lambda} \partial^{\lambda}}{\partial h^{\lambda}}$$

Blasius and Hiemenz solved this equation by means of the formula

$$\psi = \psi_1 x + \psi_3 x^3 + \psi_5 x^5 + \dots$$

for the symmetrical case where the velocity distribution outside of the boundary layer follows the formula $U=u_1x+u_5x^5+u_5x^5+\dots$ are functions only of y.

By comparison of the various powers of x the equations for ψ_{ν} were obtained. These equations were freed of the constants u_{ν} by introduction of the functions f_{ν} , g_{ν} , etc., by means of the following statements:

$$\eta = y\sqrt{u_1} \qquad \psi_1 = f_1\sqrt{u_1} \qquad \psi_3 = \frac{4u_3}{\sqrt{u_1}} f_3$$

$$\psi_5 = \frac{6u_5}{\sqrt{u_1}} \left(g_5 + \frac{u_3^2}{u_1 u_5} h_5 \right) \qquad \psi_7 = \frac{8u_7}{\sqrt{u_1}} \left(g_7 + \frac{u_3 u_5}{u_1 u_7} h_7 + \frac{u_3^3}{u_1^2 u_7} k_7 \right)$$

$$\psi_9 = \frac{10u_9}{\sqrt{u_1}} \left(g_9 + \frac{u_3 u_7}{u_1 u_9} h_9 + \frac{u_5^2}{u_1 u_9} k_9 + \frac{u_3^2 u_5}{u_1^2 u_9} j_9 + \frac{u_3^4}{u_1^3 u_9} q_9 \right) \dots$$

2. Unsymmetrical case

For an unsymmetrical two-dimensional body for which the velocity distribution follows the formula $U=u_1x+u_2x^2+u_3x^3+\dots$, the formulation

$$\Psi = \Psi_1 x + \Psi_2 x^2 + \Psi_3 x^3 + \dots$$

was used. Here also the $\psi_{\mathcal{V}}$ were freed of the constants $u_{\mathcal{V}}$, this time by the expressions

$$\eta = y\sqrt{u_1}$$
 $\psi_1 = f_1\sqrt{u_1}$ $\psi_2 = \frac{3u_2}{\sqrt{u_1}} f_2 \text{ etc.}$

b. Transfer Boundary Layer

1. Symmetrical case

The author of this report attempted in the dimensionless equations without Reynolds numbers, aside from the formulations for ψ mentioned above, a development for c in the following manner (c_V are functions of y only):

$$c = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu} x^{\nu} = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + c_4 x^4 + \dots$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y = 0 & c_0 = 1 & c_1 = c_2 = \dots = 0 \\ y = \infty & c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots = 0 \end{cases}$$

By substitution one obtains for the c2n and c2n+1

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma} c''_{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2k \psi'_{2n+1-2k} c_{2k} - \sum_{0}^{n} (2n+1-2k) \psi_{2n+1-2k} c'_{2k} \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} c''_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (2k+1) \psi'_{2n+1-2k} c_{2k+1} - \sum_{0}^{n} (2n+1-2k) \psi_{2n+1-2k} c'_{2k+1} \end{cases}$$

One can easily show that the equations for c_{2n+1} are such that they become identically zero. In the groups of equations mentioned above which constitute the recursion equations, there occur exclusively functions with even or odd subscripts. From this one can see that c is an even function of x which follows, besides, from the nature of the problem. In order to be free of the constants U_{ν} , new functions are introduced by the following statements:

$$\eta = y\sqrt{u_{1}}; \quad \psi_{\nu} \text{ as above}; \quad c_{0} = F_{0}; \quad c_{2} = \frac{4u_{3}F_{2}}{u_{1}};$$

$$c_{4} = \frac{6u_{5}}{u_{1}}\left(c_{4} + \frac{u_{3}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{5}}H_{4}\right) \qquad c_{6} = \frac{8u_{7}}{u_{1}}\left(c_{6} + \frac{u_{3}u_{5}}{u_{1}u_{7}}H_{6} + \frac{u_{3}^{3}}{u_{1}^{2}u_{7}}K_{6}\right)$$

$$c_{8} = \frac{10u_{9}}{u_{1}}\left(c_{8} + \frac{u_{3}u_{7}}{u_{1}u_{9}}H_{8} + \frac{u_{5}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{9}}K_{8} + \frac{u_{3}^{2}u_{5}}{u_{1}^{2}u_{9}}J_{8} + \frac{u_{3}^{4}}{u_{1}^{3}u_{9}}Q_{8}\right)$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\eta = 0$$
; $F_0 = 1$; the remaining functions = 0 $\eta = \infty$; all functions = 0

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sigma^{F}} G^{"} &= -f_{1} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{F}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} F_{2}^{"} + 2f_{1}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{"} + 4f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 5g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{"} + 4f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 5g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{G} + 6f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{G} + 6f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{0}^{"} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{G} + 6f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{0}^{"} + 3\left(4f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{5}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 5g_{5}^{} F_{2}^{}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 6f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{0}^{"} + 3\left(4f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{5}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 5g_{5}^{} F_{2}^{}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{2}^{"}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} + \frac{18}{5}\left(4g_{5}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 5g_{5}^{} G_{1}^{}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{2}^{"}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{2}^{"}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{1}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{1}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} F_{0}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{"} F_{2}^{} - 3f_{3}^{} G_{1}^{} - 7g_{7}^{} F_{2}^{"}\right) + \frac{72}{5}\left(h_{5}^{"} G_{1}^{} + g_{5}^{"} G_{1}^{}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{2}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{2}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} G_{1}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{} G_{1}^{"}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{2}^{} + 8f_{1}^{"} G_{2}^{} - 9g_{5}^{} G_{1}^{"} + \frac{16}{5}\left(6f_{3}^{"} G_{1}^{} + 2g_{7}^{"} G_{1}^{"}\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{G}} g^{"} &= -f_{1} G_{2}^{}$$

These functions are simpler than those for the flow boundary layer insofar as the equations are only of the second order. The first equation may be solved by quadratures:

$$F_{0} = 1 - \frac{\int_{0}^{\eta} e^{-\sigma \int_{0}^{\eta} f_{1} d\eta}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma \int_{0}^{\eta} f_{1} d\eta}}$$

The remaining equations do not have explicit solutions and must therefore be integrated by other methods, for instance, according to Runge and Kutta. (See p. 19.)

2. Unsymmetrical case

With $c = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 + \dots$ and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} y = 0; & c_0 = 1; & c_1 = c_2 = \dots = 0 \\ y = \infty; & c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots = 0 \end{cases}$$

one obtains for c,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}c_{\nu}" = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} k \psi'_{\nu+1-k} c_{k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} (\nu + 1 - k) \psi_{\nu+1-k} c_{k}'$$

Here none of the functions c_{ν} disappears, and c is therefore, as had been expected, an even function. The equations here are not divided into two independent groups but the functions follow successively one from the other.

Distribution of the cv:

$$\eta = y\sqrt{u_{1}}; \quad \psi_{1} = f_{1}\sqrt{u_{1}} \quad \text{etc.}; \quad c_{0} = F_{0}; \quad c_{1} = \frac{3u_{2}}{u_{1}}F_{1};$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{u_{1}u_{3}}{u_{1}}\left(G_{2} + \frac{u_{2}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{3}}H_{2}\right); \quad c_{3} = \frac{5u_{4}}{u_{1}}\left(G_{3} + \frac{u_{2}u_{3}}{u_{1}u_{4}}H_{3} + \frac{u_{2}^{3}}{u_{1}^{2}u_{4}}K_{3}\right);$$

$$c_{4} = \frac{6u_{5}}{u_{1}}\left(G_{4} + \frac{u_{2}u_{4}}{u_{1}u_{5}}H_{4} + \frac{u_{3}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{5}}K_{4} + \frac{u_{2}^{2}u_{3}}{u_{1}^{2}u_{5}}J_{4} + \frac{u_{2}^{4}}{u_{1}^{3}u_{5}}Q_{4}\right);$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \eta = 0; & F_0 = 1; & \text{the remaining functions} = 0; \\ \eta = \infty; & \text{all functions} = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma}F_{0}'' &= -f_{1}F_{0}' \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}F_{1}'' &= -f_{1}F_{1}' + f_{1}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}F_{0}' \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{2}'' &= -f_{1}G_{2}' + 2f_{1}'G_{2} - 3g_{3}F_{0}' \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}H_{2}'' &= -f_{1}H_{2}' + 2f_{1}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}F_{0}' + \frac{9}{4}(f_{2}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}F_{1}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{3}'' &= -f_{1}G_{3}' + 3f_{1}'G_{3} - 4g_{4}F_{0}' \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}H_{3}'' &= -f_{1}H_{3}' + 3f_{1}'H_{3} - 4h_{4}F_{0}' + \frac{12}{5}(2f_{2}'G_{2} + g_{3}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}G_{2}' - 3g_{3}F_{1}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}K_{3}'' &= -f_{1}K_{3}' + 3f_{1}'K_{3} - 4k_{4}F_{0}' + \frac{12}{5}(2f_{2}'H_{2} + h_{3}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}H_{2}' - 3h_{3}F_{1}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}H_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'H_{4} - 5h_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'G_{3} + g_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}G_{3}' - 4g_{4}F_{1}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}K_{4}' + 4f_{1}'K_{4} - 5k_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'H_{3} + h_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}H_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}K_{4}' + 4f_{1}'J_{4} - 5J_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'H_{3} + h_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}H_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{16}{3}(g_{3}'H_{2} + h_{3}'G_{2}) - 8(g_{3}H_{2}' + h_{3}G_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'K_{3} + k_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}K_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{3}{3}(2h_{3}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}H_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'K_{3} + k_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}K_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{3}{3}(2h_{3}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}H_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'K_{3} + k_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}K_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{3}{3}(2h_{3}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}H_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'K_{3} + k_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}K_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{3}{3}(2h_{3}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}H_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'K_{3} + k_{4}'F_{1} - 2f_{2}K_{3}' - 4h_{4}F_{1}') + \frac{3}{3}(2h_{3}'H_{2} - 3h_{3}H_{2}') \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}G_{4}'' &= -f_{1}G_{4}'' + 4f_{1}'G_{4} - 5g_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{5}{2}(3f_{2}'H$$

The first equation is identical with the first one of the symmetrical case.

B. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRIC CASE

a. Flow Boundary Layer

For flows about a blunt body of revolution whose axis lies in the direction of the flow, the flow equations are, according to Boltze (ref. 13),

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial x}{\partial (n \cdot n)} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial (n \cdot n)} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial n} + \lambda \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial n} = n \cdot n \cdot n \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial n} \end{cases}$$

In the transformation to the dimensionless form without Reynolds number ν disappears. The quantity r then must have, for the bodies of revolution, the meaning, distance of axis of rotation up to the base point of the normal instead of up to the point (x, y). A function for identical solution of the continuity equation is desired. Boltze (ref. 13) suggested a function ψ which is defined as follows:

$$u = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \qquad v = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$

In the present report another solution $\bar{\psi}$ also has been examined. Definition

$$u = \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\overline{\psi}r)}{\partial y} \qquad v = -\frac{\partial \overline{\psi}}{\partial x} - \frac{\overline{\psi}}{r} \frac{dr}{dx} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\overline{\psi}r)}{\partial x}$$

The function $\overline{\psi}$ has the advantage that the equations become somewhat simpler and that the velocity profile is obtained directly. The functions are derived in both cases. ψ and $\overline{\psi}r$ are flow functions.

1. Use of the function \v

After substitution of the expressions for u and v into the first boundary-layer equation one obtains

$$-\left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda}\right) \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x \partial \lambda}{\partial x^{4}} - x \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial x^{5}} = x_{2} \Omega \Omega_{1} + x_{5} \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial x^{4}}$$

NACA TM 1432

The power-series developments used (ψ_{ν} function of y; r_{ν} and u_{ν} constants)

$$\Psi = \Psi_2 x^2 + \Psi_4 x^4 + \Psi_6 x^6 + \dots$$

$$r = r_1 x + r_3 x^3 + r_5 x^5 + \dots$$

$$U = u_1 x + u_3 x^3 + u_5 x^5 + \dots$$

The functions ψ_{ν} , have the following boundary conditions:

$$y = 0; \quad \psi_{\nu} = \psi_{\nu}' = 0;$$

 $y = \infty; \quad \psi_{2}' = r_{1}u_{1}; \quad \psi_{4}' = r_{1}u_{3} + r_{3}u_{1}; \quad \psi_{6}' = r_{1}u_{5} + r_{3}u_{3} + r_{5}u_{1}; \quad . \quad .$

After substitution of the power expressions into the equation for ψ one obtains equations for ψ_{ν} by comparison of the different coefficients. These equations may be freed of the letters r_{ν} and u_{ν} by the following formulations:

$$\eta = y\sqrt{2u_{1}}; \quad \psi_{2} = \frac{r_{1}u_{1}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} f_{2}; \quad \psi_{4} = \frac{2r_{1}u_{3}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} \left(g_{4} + \frac{r_{3}u_{1}}{r_{1}u_{3}}h_{4}\right)$$

$$\psi_{6} = \frac{3r_{1}u_{5}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} \left(g_{6} + \frac{r_{5}u_{1}}{r_{1}u_{5}}h_{6} + \frac{u_{3}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{5}}k_{6} + \frac{r_{3}u_{3}}{r_{1}u_{5}}j_{6} + \frac{r_{3}^{2}u_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}u_{5}}q_{6}\right); \quad . \quad .$$

The new functions have the boundary conditions

 $\eta = 0$; all functions and their first derivative = 0 $\eta = \infty$; $f_2' = 1$; $g_{\downarrow}' = h_{\downarrow}' = \frac{1}{2}$; $g_6' = h_6' = j_6' = \frac{1}{3}$; $k_6' = q_6' = 0$; . . One obtains the following equations:

$$f_{2}"' = -f_{2}f_{2}" + \frac{1}{2}(f_{2}^{12} - 1)$$

$$g_{l_{1}}"' = -f_{2}g_{l_{1}}" + 2f_{2}^{1}g_{l_{1}}' - 2f_{2}^{1}g_{l_{1}} - 1$$

$$h_{l_{1}}"' = -f_{2}h_{l_{1}}" + 2f_{2}^{1}h_{l_{1}}' - 2f_{2}^{1}h_{l_{1}} - \frac{1}{4}(3f_{2}^{12} - 2f_{2}f_{2}" + 1)$$

$$g_{6}"' = -f_{2}g_{6}" + 3f_{2}^{1}g_{6}' - 3f_{2}^{1}g_{6} - 1$$

$$h_{6}"' = -f_{2}h_{6}" + 3f_{2}^{1}h_{6}' - 3f_{2}^{1}h_{6} - \frac{1}{6}(5f_{2}^{12} - 2f_{2}f_{2}" + 1)$$

$$k_{6}"' = -f_{2}k_{6}" + 3f_{2}^{1}k_{6}' - 3f_{2}^{1}k_{6} + \frac{2}{3}(3g_{l_{1}}^{12} - 4g_{l_{1}}g_{l_{1}}") - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$j_{6}"' = -f_{2}j_{6}" + 3f_{2}^{1}j_{6}' - 3f_{2}^{1}j_{6} + 4g_{l_{1}}^{1}h_{l_{1}}' - \frac{8}{3}(g_{l_{1}}h_{l_{1}}" + h_{l_{1}}g_{l_{1}}") + \frac{2}{3}(f_{2}g_{l_{1}}" - 4f_{2}^{1}g_{l_{1}}' + 2f_{2}^{1}g_{l_{1}} - 1)$$

$$q_{6}"' = -f_{2}q_{6}" + 3f_{2}^{1}q_{6}' - 3f_{2}^{1}q_{6} + \frac{2}{3}(3h_{l_{1}}^{12} - 4h_{l_{1}}h_{l_{1}}") + \frac{2}{3}(f_{2}h_{l_{1}}" - 4f_{2}^{1}h_{l_{1}}') + \frac{2}{3}(f_{2}h_{l_{1}}" - 4f_{2}^{1}h_{l_{1}}') + \frac{1}{6}(5f_{2}^{12} - 2f_{2}f_{2}")$$

2. Use of the function $\overline{\psi}$

One obtains

$$\frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} - \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} - \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} - \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} = \overline{h} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} = \overline{h} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} + \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} = \overline{h} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} + \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial \overline{h}} = \overline{h} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial$$

Power series developments

$$\overline{\psi} = \overline{\psi}_1 x + \overline{\psi}_3 x^3 + \overline{\psi}_5 x^5 + \dots$$

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 x + \mathbf{r}_3 x^3 + \mathbf{r}_5 x^5 + \dots$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 x + \mathbf{u}_3 x^3 + \mathbf{u}_5 x^5 + \dots$$

Boundary conditions

$$y = 0;$$
 $\overline{\psi}_{\nu} = \psi_{\nu}^{\dagger} = 0;$ $y = \infty;$ $\overline{\psi}_{\nu}^{\dagger} = u_{\nu}$

The functions $\overline{\psi}_{\nu}$ are here divided up as follows:

$$\eta = y\sqrt{2u_{1}}; \quad \overline{\psi}_{1} = \frac{u_{1}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} f_{1}; \quad \overline{\psi}_{3} = \frac{2u_{3}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} f_{3} = \frac{2u_{3}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} \left(g_{3} + \frac{r_{3}u_{1}}{r_{1}u_{3}} h_{3}\right)$$

$$\overline{\psi}_{5} = \frac{3u_{5}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} f_{5} = \frac{3u_{5}}{\sqrt{2u_{1}}} \left(g_{5} + \frac{r_{5}u_{1}}{r_{1}u_{5}} h_{5} + \frac{u_{3}^{2}}{u_{1}u_{5}} k_{5} + \frac{r_{3}u_{3}}{r_{1}u_{5}} j_{5} + \frac{r_{3}^{2}u_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}u_{5}} q_{5}\right)$$

Boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= 0; & \text{ all functions and their first derivative} &= 0; \\ \eta &= \infty; & f_1' &= 1; & g_2' &= \frac{1}{2}; & g_5' &= \frac{1}{3}; & h_5' &= h_5' &= k_5' &= J_5' &= g_5' &= 0; \\ f_1''' &= -f_1f_1'' + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_1'^2 - 1 \right) \\ g_3''' &= -f_1g_3'' + 2f_1'g_3' - 2f_1''g_3 - 1 \\ h_3''' &= -f_1h_3'' + 2f_1'h_3' - 2f_1''h_3 - \frac{1}{2}f_1f_1'' \\ g_5''' &= -f_1g_5'' + 3f_1'g_5' - 3f_1''g_5 - 1 \\ h_5''' &= -f_1h_5'' + 3f_1''h_5' - 3f_1''h_5 - \frac{2}{3}f_1f_1'' \\ k_5''' &= -f_1h_5'' + 3f_1'h_5' - 3f_1''k_5 + 2g_3'^2 - \frac{8}{3}g_3g_3'' - \frac{1}{2} \\ J_5''' &= -f_1J_5'' + 3f_1'J_5' - 3f_1''J_5 + hg_3'h_5' - \frac{8}{3} \left(g_3h_3'' + h_3g_3'' \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left(f_1''g_3 + f_1g_3'' \right) \\ q_5''' &= -f_1q_5'' + 3f_1'q_5' - 3f_1''q_5 + 2h_3'^2 - \frac{8}{3}h_3h_3'' + \frac{1}{3}f_1f_1'' - \frac{2}{3} \left(f_1h_3'' + f_1''h_3 \right) \end{aligned}$$

15

In the first method, the functions have even subscripts, in the second odd ones. A simple relation exists between the two groups of functions which one may easily obtain by equating the two expressions defining u and, respectively, the two expressions defining v (which gives v = v).

$$f_2 = f_1;$$
 $g_1 = g_3;$ $h_1 = h_3 + \frac{f_1}{2};$
 $g_6 = g_5;$ $h_6 = h_5 + \frac{f_1}{3};$ $k_6 = k_5;$ $j_6 = j_5 + \frac{2}{3}g_3;$ $q_6 = q_5 + \frac{2}{3}h_3$

b. Transfer Boundary Layer

The general equation of the temperature and concentration fields for rotationally symmetrical flow has not been set up before. For the special case where the body is a sphere, the author (ref. 11) has shown that for boundary-layer flow the equation is identical with the one for the two-dimensional case, at least for points which do not lie directly at the stagnation point. In the present report, it is shown that the same boundary-layer equation is valid also for arbitrary blunt bodies of revolution, and that this applies to points directly at the stagnation point as well. The introduction of mass or heat into a volume element by diffusion and convection is expressed by the following equation (which is valid for rotationally symmetrical flow without neglect of the boundary layer when x and r are counted up to the element instead of to the base point):

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial (cnr)} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial (cnr)} = \nabla \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial } \frac{\partial x}{\partial c} r \right) + \nabla \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial } \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial c} r \right)$$

The derivation becomes the simplest if one chooses as the volume element an element bounded by two meridian planes, two surfaces x = constant, and two surfaces y = constant. In order to arrive at the boundary-layer equation, one groups the derivatives

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \Delta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} & \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Supposing that a thin boundary layer exists, the terms 2 and 3 in the parenthesis disappear. The first two terms of the left side disappear because of the appearance of the continuity equation. The last term of the parenthesis becomes infinite at the stagnation point if $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$ is not here zero. In order to avoid discontinuities at the stagnation point, one must therefore equate there $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = 0$. Then the last term becomes everywhere negligible, and one obtains the equation

$$u \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \Delta \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial y^2}$$

which thus is identical with the one in the case of two-dimensional flow.

1. Use of the function *

Because of symmetry and of the requirement $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = 0$ one uses for c the expression $c = c_0 + c_2 x^2 + c_1 x^4 + \dots$ with the following boundary conditions for c_v :

$$y = 0;$$
 $c_0 = 1;$ $c_2 = c_1 = ... = 0;$
 $y = \infty;$ $c_0 = c_2 = c_1 = ... = 0$

For the c_{ν} one obtains equations which contain r_{ν} and u_{ν} . In order to eliminate these constants, one may make the following substitutions:

$$\eta = y \sqrt{2u_1}; \quad \psi_{\nu} = \text{as before}; \quad c_0 = F_0; \quad c_2 = \frac{2u_3}{u_1} \left(G_2 + \frac{r_3 u_1}{r_1 u_3} H_2 \right) \\
c_{14} = \frac{3u_5}{u_1} F_{14} = \frac{3u_5}{u_1} \left(G_4 + \frac{r_5 u_1}{r_1 u_5} H_{14} + \frac{u_3^2}{u_1 u_5} K_{14} + \frac{r_3 u_3}{r_1 u_5} J_{14} + \frac{r_3^2 u_1}{r_1^2 u_5} Q_4 \right)$$

Boundary condition

$$\eta = 0$$
; F₀ = 1; remaining functions = 0; $\eta = \infty$; all functions = 0

For the new functions the following equations of the second order are obtained:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sigma} & F_0" = -f_2 F_0! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & G_2" = -f_2 G_2! + f_2' G_2 - 2g_{l_1} F_0! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & H_2" = -f_2 H_2! + f_2' H_2 - 2h_{l_1} F_0! + \frac{1}{2} f_2 F_0! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & G_{l_1}" = -f_2 G_{l_1}! + 2f_2' G_{l_1} - 3g_6 F_0! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & H_{l_1}" = -f_2 H_{l_1}! + 2f_2' H_{l_1} - 3h_6 F_0! + \frac{1}{3} f_2 F_0! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & K_{l_1}" = -f_2 K_{l_1}! + 2f_2' K_{l_1} - 3k_6 F_0! + \frac{1}{3} g_{l_1} G_2 - \frac{8}{3} g_{l_1} G_2! \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & J_{l_1}" = -f_2 J_{l_1}! + 2f_2' J_{l_1} - 3J_6 F_0! + \frac{1}{3} \left(g_{l_1} H_2 + h_{l_1} G_2\right) - \frac{8}{3} \left(g_{l_1} H_2! + h_{l_1} G_2\right) - \frac{2}{3} \left(-f_2 G_2! + f_2' G_2 - 2g_{l_1} F_0!\right) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & Q_{l_1}" = -f_2 Q_{l_1}! + 2f_2' Q_{l_1} - 3q_6 F_0! + \frac{1}{3} h_{l_1} H_2 - \frac{8}{3} h_{l_1} H_2! - \frac{2}{3} \left(-f_2 H_2! + f_2' H_2 - 2h_{l_1} F_0! + \frac{1}{2} f_2 F_0!\right) \end{split}$$

2. Use of the function \(\psi \)

With the same power development for c and the same definitions of the functions F_0 , G_2 , G_4 , etc., one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} F_0" = -f_1 F_0'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} G_2" = -f_1 G_2' + f_1' G_2 - 2g_3 F_0'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} H_2" = -f_1 H_2' + f_1' H_2 - 2h_3 F_0' - \frac{1}{2} f_1 F_0'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} G_{4}" = -f_{1}G_{4}' + 2f_{1}'G_{4} - 3g_{5}F_{0}'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} H_{4}" = -f_{1}H_{4}' + 2f_{1}'H_{4} - 3h_{5}F_{0}' - \frac{2}{3}f_{1}F_{0}'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} K_{4}" = -f_{1}K_{4}' + 2f_{1}'K_{4} - 3k_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{1}{3}g_{3}'G_{2} - \frac{8}{3}g_{3}G_{2}'$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} J_{4}" = -f_{1}J_{4}' + 2f_{1}'J_{4} - 3J_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{1}{3}(g_{3}'H_{2} + h_{3}'G_{2}) - \frac{2}{3}(f_{1}G_{2}' + g_{3}F_{0}') - \frac{8}{3}(g_{3}H_{2}' + h_{3}G_{2}')$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} Q_{4}" = -f_{1}Q_{4}' + 2f_{1}'Q_{4} - 3q_{5}F_{0}' + \frac{1}{3}h_{3}'H_{2} - \frac{8}{3}h_{3}H_{2}' - \frac{2}{3}(f_{1}H_{2}' + h_{3}F_{0}') + \frac{1}{3}f_{1}F_{0}'$$

One can show easily by application of the relationships between the functions with even and the functions with odd subscripts that the systems of equations for the cases 1 and 2 are identical.

The first equations of the two systems are identical with the first equation for two-dimensional flow and are, therefore, also solved by quadratures.

C. FINAL EXPRESSIONS FOR THE TRANSFER

$$\frac{Nu}{\sqrt{Re}} = \left[-F_0' \sqrt{u_1} - \frac{u_3 F_2'}{\sqrt{u_1}} x^2 - \frac{6u_5}{\sqrt{u_1}} (G_{l_1}' + \frac{u_3^2}{u_1 u_5} H_{l_1}') x^{l_1} - \dots \right]_{\eta=0}$$

NACA TM 1432

Corresponding expressions are obtained in the other cases. As one can see from the equations, one may easily calculate the Nusselt number for arbitrary pressure distributions and body shapes which agree with the formulations, if one has made a numerical calculation of the functions. Unfortunately, the quantity σ is left over and one must therefore make different solutions for different media. As is shown in a section below, however, one can free the equations of σ , too, if σ is large.

D. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

For the two-dimensional symmetrical case and for rotationally symmetrical bodies the author has numerically calculated various functions, corresponding to the three first terms of the power-series developments in x. The method of Runge and Kutta (ref. 14) was used for this purpose. This method is rather time consuming but one has good possibilities of determining the errors. The first function f_1 of the two-dimensional case has been calculated by Riemenz and Howarth with an accuracy sufficient for this investigation; Howarth's values are directly used here. For fi in the rotationally symmetrical case there exists a table by Hartree (ref. 15) which was set up by using a mechanical differential analyzer. The accuracy is here not sufficient and the first two derivatives also are required; for this reason the function is calculated here anew. Since the equation for fi is not linear and one therefore cannot find the solution by combining two particular solutions, it was valuable to have approximate information on f_{η} " for $\eta = 0$. The functions were solved mostly by steps of $\eta = 0.2$. Since the values with η -interval 0.1 must be known for the successive calculations, the values lying between were interpolated by means of a Taylor series. For the transfer boundary layer the calculations for the σ-value of the air (0.7) were performed in the two-dimensional symmetrical case because experimental results for the heat transfer of a circular cylinder in air exist (see, for instance, the compilation by Kroujiline (ref. 1). For $(F_0")_0$ one may obtain values from a table given by Goldstein and calculated by Squire (ref. 2) also in the case of other o-values. Squire indicated an analogous expression for the heat transfer at the stagnation point. For the rotationally symmetrical case calculations have been carried out for $\sigma = \frac{1}{0.395}$ because the only experimental result for the transfer distribution has been found for the evaporation of naphthalene spheres (ref. 11), naphthalene has this value of o. If one wants to calculate the higher terms of the power-series development in x for a special case, one may combine the separate functions in a single term in order to save work expenditure; but the generality of the solution is lost thereby. This has been done for the boundary layer of the body of revolution

NACA TM 1432

for $\sigma = \frac{1}{0.395}$. The parenthesis in the defining equation for G_{l_1} , H_{l_2} etc., has been combined into a single function F_{l_1} and calculated for the sphere for the pressure distribution of Fage. (See below.)

The tables of the calculated functions are printed at the end of the report with the exception of the higher ones for rotationally symmetric boundary layer. Here one has for n=0 (and $\sigma=\frac{1}{0.395}$) $G_2'=0.3186$, $H_2'=-0.1005$, and $F_4'=-0.2118$. The error of the tabulated functions which will be discussed in more detail later is at most a few units in the last digit.

From the tables one obtains for air, for the pressure distribution measured by Hiemenz (ref. 6) at Re ~ 19000 for the circular cylinder $U = 3.6314x - 2.1709x^3 - 1.5144x^5$

$$\frac{\text{Nu}}{\sqrt{\text{Re}}} = 0.9449 - 0.5100x^2 - 0.5956x^4...$$

The quantity x is here dimensionless (the length dimension x divided by the diameter D). Not only in the range 0° - 55° where the series is to apply exactly (see E, 2) but up to the separation point this equation is in good agreement with the compilation of experimental distribution curves indicated by Kroujiline (ref. 1). The derivations will be discussed later.

For the sphere a qualitative agreement with the values obtained for evaporation of naphthalene at higher Re (ref. 11) is attained if the pressure distribution according to Fage (ref. 17) is used which gives $U = 3x - 3.4966x^3 + 4.7391x^5 - 5.4181x^7$ for Re = 157200 (ref. 18). One then obtains

$$\frac{\text{Nu}}{\sqrt{\text{Re}}} = 1.8615 - 2.1477x^2 + 2.4609x^4 \dots$$

The deviations depend, among other things, on the fact that Fage's pressure distribution is possibly not fulfilled for Reynolds numbers as small as those used here. Later on a more exact comparison will be made with more recent experimental values obtained by the author at still higher Reynolds numbers.

E. DETERMINATION OF THE ERRORS OF THE

BROKEN-OFF POWER-SERIES DEVELOPMENTS

One may use various methods: (1) The following term is calculated and for not-too-large x this indicates the error. (2) If the value of the errors is not required with a very high accuracy, the coefficient of the x-terms may be assumed to be of the same order of magnitude. (3) Use of a continuation method of the profile. (See following section.) (4) In the case of direct differentiation, with respect to y, of the value of $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$ taken from the transfer boundary-layer equation one may obtain, for transition to y = 0, the first derivative of Nu in x whereby a continuation step may be taken directly with respect to Nu. Later on numerical calculations according to some of these methods will be given.

STEPWISE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BOUNDARY-LAYER PROFILE

A. TWO-DIMENSIONAL CASE

a. Flow Boundary Layer

Prandtl (ref. 8) indicated for this case a method which is based on the fact that one may obtain from the equations an expression for $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ containing only u with derivatives for a prescribed x. $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ becomes with dimensionless quantities without Reynolds numbers

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial n} \left[n \int_{\Lambda}^{0} \frac{n_{S}}{T} \left(nn_{A} + \frac{\partial \lambda_{S}}{\partial n} \right) d\lambda \right]$$

When two adjacent profiles (at $x-\Delta x$ and x) were known, for instance, by application of the method of Blasius and Hiemenz, it was possible to calculate a third for $x+\Delta x$. With the u-values at $x-\Delta x$ the $2\Delta x$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ values for x were used. One could then continue in the same manner with the profiles at x and $x+\Delta x$. In order to guarantee the convergence of the expression, one was not to use the original numerical profile at x but had to replace it by another which satisfied certain requirements. In order to calculate those, u was developed

into a power series with respect to y: $u = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\nu} y^{\nu}}{\nu!}$. For the a_{ν} one

obtained certain conditions by substitution into the flow equation whereby only some of them could be chosen arbitrarily. These latter were determined by comparison with the given profile. Görtler (ref. 9) perfected the method practically and used it in Hiemenz' pressure distribution over the circular cylinder. In the present report corresponding ideas are used for bodies of revolution and for the transfer boundary layer, and the necessary expressions are added and discussed.

b. Transfer Boundary Layer

From the basic equation one obtains directly

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{u}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} - \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)$$

This equation may therefore be used directly for step-by-step continuation of the vapor and temperature boundary layer. Conditions become here simpler insofar as no integration is necessary. However, here also the danger exists that the expression becomes uncertain at the wall (because of u occurring in the denominator). Moreover, $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$ must become identically zero at the wall. In order to satisfy the requirements, one resolves here also the quantity c into a power-series development with respect to $y(b_v)$ function of x only):

$$c = 1 - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{\nu} y^{\nu}}{\nu!}$$

By substitution one obtains

$$\sum \frac{a_{\nu}y^{\nu}}{\nu!} \sum \frac{b_{\nu}'y^{\nu}}{\nu!} - \sum \frac{a_{\nu}'y^{\nu+1}}{(\nu+1)!} \sum \frac{b_{\nu}y^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum \frac{b_{\nu}y^{\nu-2}}{(\nu-2)!}$$

By comparison of terms of the same degree, one arrives at the relation between the b_{ν} . For the first nine b_{ν} there applies (with f = -UU')

bl free;
$$b_2 = b_3 = 0$$

$$\frac{b_1}{\sigma} = 2a_1b_1' - a_1'b_1 \text{ free}; \quad \frac{b_5}{\sigma} = 3fb_1' - f'b_1; \quad b_6 = 0$$

$$\frac{b_7}{\sigma} = 10a_1^2\sigma b_1'' + 5a_1a_1'(1 - 3\sigma)b_1' + \left[a_1'^2(10\sigma - 1) - a_1a_1''(5\sigma + 1)\right]b_1 \text{ free}$$

$$\frac{b_8}{\sigma} = 48a_1\sigma fb_1'' + 2\left[2a_1f'(3 - 7\sigma) - 15a_1'f\sigma\right]b_1' + \left[-2a_1f''(3\sigma + 1) - 15fa_1''\sigma + f'a_1(35\sigma - 2)\right]b_1;$$

$$\frac{b_9}{\sigma} = 63f^2\sigma b_1'' + 7ff'(2 - 9\sigma)b_1' + \left[f'^2(35\sigma - 2) - ff''(21\sigma + 2)\right]b_1$$

The free coefficients are calculated as before by comparison with the given profile, and the c-values developed in power series are substituted into the above equation for $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$.

B. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL CASE

a. Flow Boundary Layer

The equations read

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial (nx)} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial (nx)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial n} + \lambda \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial n} = \Omega \Omega_1 + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial n}$$

Here r signifies, as before, for a blunt body of revolution the distance between the axis of rotation and the base point of the normal to the surface.

By eliminating $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ one obtains a linear equation of the first order in v with solution

$$v = -u \int_0^y \left(\frac{uv'}{u^2} + \frac{1}{u^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) dy - \frac{1}{r} \frac{dr}{dx} uy$$

By forming the derivative from $\, v \,$ with respect to $\, y \,$ and using the continuity equation one obtains

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[u \int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{u^{2}} \left(u u' + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} \right) dy \right] + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

For given u-profile, one may therefore use an equation for the continuation of the boundary-layer profile which differs from the equation for the two-dimensional case only with respect to the last term. In order to establish the convergence at the wall, here also a power-series development in y becomes necessary

$$u = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\nu} y^{\nu}}{\nu!}$$

since

$$v = -\sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(a_{\nu}' + a_{\nu} \frac{r'}{r} \right) \frac{y^{\nu+1}}{(\nu+1)!}$$

By substitution into the basic equations one obtains (with f = -UU'; $g = \frac{r'}{r}$)

$$a_1$$
 free; $a_2 = f$; $a_3 = 0$;
 $a_4 = a_1 a_1' - a_1^2 g$ free; $a_5 = 2a_1 f' - 4a_1 f g$; $a_6 = 2f f' - 4f^2 g$

As before, one determines the free coefficients.

b. Transfer Boundary Layer

With the same expression as above for the two-dimensional case one obtains for the $\,b_{\nu}$

NACA TM 1432 25

$$b_1$$
 free; $b_2 = b_3 = 0$;

series development in x.

$$\frac{b_1}{\sigma} = 2a_1b_1' - a_1'b_1 - a_1b_1g$$
 free; $\frac{b_5}{\sigma} = 3fb_1' - f'b_1 - fb_1g$; $b_6 = 0$;

The practical execution in the last three cases will be discussed in a later report together with numerical calculations. The methods of continuation discussed yield results the accuracy of which depends exclusively on the work expenditure and is therefore not limited by postulating approximation functions. The methods may also be used for determining the accuracy of the aforementioned power-series developments in x in the case of breaking-off after a certain number of terms at a certain point. One then starts the continuation method at an x so small that the error is certainly small, and compares the result then obtained at a larger x with the one directly calculated from the power-

DEPENDENCE OF THE EVAPORATION AND THE HEAT TRANSFER ON σ

A. GENERALITIES

Pohlhausen (ref. 16) has shown for the plane that Nu is approximately proportional to the quantity $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$. In the approximate calculations of Kroujiline (ref. 1) the same was shown for the circular cylinder. Ulsamer (ref. 10) demonstrated that the law may be approximately selected from various experimental investigations on the heat transfer of a circular cylinder. The author of this report has confirmed the law at least approximately in the case of evaporation of drops (ref. 11).

From the equations of the section on power-series developments in x one sees that σ can probably not be eliminated from them by simple transformations. Thus one cannot expect a relation as simple as the aforementioned to apply exactly. For the case where σ is very large and the transfer boundary layer therefore thin compared to the flow boundary layer, the author of this report found the $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ -law to be exact. In this case the curvature of the velocity profile may be neglected in the entire transfer boundary layer, and one may replace u by $(u')_0 y$ and v by $\frac{1}{2}(v'')_0 y^2$ in the general boundary-layer equation, with the apostrophes indicating derivatives with respect to y.

$$(u')_{0}y \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + \frac{(v'')_{0}}{2}y^{2} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial^{2}c}{\partial y^{2}}$$

The variable $\zeta = y \sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ is introduced for c (not for u and v)

$$(\pi_1)^0 \xi \frac{9^x}{9^c} + \frac{5}{(\pi_n)^0} \xi_5 \frac{9^x}{9^c} = \frac{9^x \xi_5}{95^c}$$

Boundary conditions: $\zeta = 0$; c = 1. $\zeta = \infty$; c = 0.

Thus one has obtained an equation free of σ . For this reason, c becomes $c = f\left(x,y\sqrt[3]{\sigma}\right)$; hence follows that for large σ the quantity Nu is proportional to the quantity $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ on the entire surface in the boundary layer. That the same law has been found experimentally also for a σ that is not large, is based on the fact that the quantity Nu $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ does not vary greatly with σ and may therefore be found to be approximately constant in a small region.

B. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL CASE

Into the equations for F_0 , F_2 , G_4 , H_4 ... the following functions and variables are introduced:

$$\xi = \eta \sqrt[3]{\frac{\sigma}{6}(f_1")_0}; \quad F_0(\eta) = \Phi_0(\xi); \quad F_2(\eta) = \frac{(f_3")_0}{(f_1")_0} \Phi_2(\xi)$$

$$G_{14} = \frac{(g_{5}'')_{0}}{(f_{1}'')_{0}} \Gamma_{14}(\xi); \quad H_{14} = \frac{(h_{5}'')_{0}}{(f_{1}'')_{0}} \Theta_{14}(\xi); \text{ etc}$$

Boundary conditions:

 $\xi = 0$; $\Phi_0 = 1$; remaining functions = 0;

 $\xi = \infty$: all functions = 0.

Taking into account that for large σ the equation $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\psi'')_{OY}^2$ is valid, one obtains

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_{0}" = -3\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}" \\ & \Phi_{2}" = -3\xi^{2}\Phi_{2}" + 12\xi\Phi_{2} - 9\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}" \\ & \Gamma_{h}" = -3\xi^{2}\Gamma_{h}" + 2h\xi\Gamma_{h} - 15\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}" \\ & \theta_{h}" = -3\xi^{2}\theta_{h}" + 2h\xi\theta_{h} - 15\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}" + \frac{8(f_{3}")^{2}}{(f_{1}")_{0}(h_{5}")_{0}} \left[h\xi\Phi_{2} - 3\xi^{2}\Phi_{2} \right] \end{split}$$

For the pressure distribution according to Hiemenz (see p. 20) one obtains in the case of a circular cylinder $\frac{Nu}{\sqrt[3]{\sigma\sqrt{Re}}} = 1.2592$ - $0.7583x^2$...; for the case calculated above $\sigma = 0.7$ one obtains for the corresponding quantity $1.0642 - 0.5744x^2 - 0.6708x^4$... Here x signifies the dimensionless abscissa which is obtained from the length dimension through division by the diameter D. The functions Φ_0 and Φ_2 are given numerically in table 6.

C. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL CASE

The following functions are now introduced:

$$\xi = \eta \sqrt[3]{\frac{\sigma}{6}(f_1")_0}; \quad F_0(\eta) = \Phi_0(\xi); \quad G_2(\eta) = \frac{(g_3")_0}{(f_1")_0} F_2(\xi); \quad H_2 = \frac{(h_3")_0}{(f_1")_0} \theta_2(\xi)$$

The equations become

$$\Phi_{0}" = -3\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}'$$

$$\Gamma_{2}" = -3\xi^{2}\Gamma_{2}' + 6\xi\Gamma_{2} - 6\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}'$$

$$\theta_{2}" = -3\xi^{2}\theta_{2}' + 6\xi\theta_{2} - 6\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}' - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(f_{1}")_{0}}{(h_{3}")_{0}}\xi^{2}\Phi_{0}'$$

As in the previous case, the solution of the first equation is

$$\Phi_0 = 1 - \frac{\int_0^{\xi} e^{-x^3} dx}{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^3} dx}$$

For the pressure distribution according to Fage (ref. 17) (see p. 20) one obtains for the sphere $\frac{\text{Nu}}{\sqrt[3]{\sigma}\sqrt{\text{Re}}} = 1.4723 - (\dots)x^2 + \dots$; for $\sigma = \frac{1}{0.395}$, one obtains 1.3658 -

DISCUSSION OF APPROXIMATE METHODS

As has been mentioned above, Pohlhausen (ref. 3) gave an approximate method for the solution of the boundary-layer equation for the flow about a circular cylinder. Tomotika (ref. 18) applied this method to the sphere. Kroujouline used a similar method for the transfer for the cylinder, applying a broken-off series development in y which was determined with utilization of the integral condition of the transfer boundary layer. For the flow boundary layer he used a parabolic profile whereby the agreement may be assumed to be bad particularly in the case of pressure increase. Probably better approximations could have been obtained with the use of polynominals of the fourth degree. These statements are valid only when the transfer boundary layer is thinner than the flow boundary layer. Here a brief description is given concerning some considerations of the author of this report concerning a body of revolution, for various relative magnitudes of the two layers.

The integral condition formerly not set up for bodies of revolution becomes (see p. 4)

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} \left[\mathbf{r} \int_{0}^{\delta} \mathrm{ucd}\mathbf{y} \right] = -\Delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)_{0}$$

which may be derived, for instance, by integration of the original equation. Here δ is the thickness of the transition boundary layer. Using dimensionless quantities without Reynolds numbers only, Δ is replaced by $\frac{1}{\sigma}$. This is assumed below.

If for the two profiles the definitions

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{a}_1 \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\delta_1} + \mathbf{a}_2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\delta_1}\right)^2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\delta_1}\right)^3 + \mathbf{a}_4 \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\delta_1}\right)^4$$

and

$$c = 1 - 2 \frac{y}{\delta} + 2 \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

are used, one obtains for $\delta < \delta_1$ the equation

$$\frac{2r}{\delta_1 z \sigma} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[r U \delta_1 \left(\frac{a_1 z^2}{15} + \frac{a_2 z^3}{42} + \frac{3a_3 z^4}{280} + \frac{a_4 z^5}{180} \right) \right]$$

Here δ_1 is the thickness of the flow boundary layer and $z = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1}$.

For $\delta > \delta_1$, the integration is performed, with use of the integral condition, first from 0 to δ_1 and then from δ_1 to δ . Result

$$\frac{2r}{\delta_1 z \sigma} = \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ r U \delta_1 \left[\frac{3z}{10} + \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{3} + \frac{a_3}{4} + \frac{a_{14}}{5} - 1 \right) - \frac{2}{z} \left(\frac{a_1}{3} + \frac{a_2}{4} + \frac{a_3}{5} + \frac{a_{14}}{6} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{z^3} \left(\frac{a_1}{5} + \frac{a_2}{6} + \frac{a_3}{7} + \frac{a_{14}}{8} - \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{z^4} \left(\frac{a_1}{6} + \frac{a_2}{7} + \frac{a_3}{8} + \frac{a_{14}}{9} - \frac{1}{5} \right) \right\}$$

The two equations have the same form when the parentheses after δ_1 are denoted, for instance, by the letter P. From the first of the two equations one sees that, for a σ so large and a z therefore so small that only the first term of the parenthesis must be considered, this z is, for a given x, inversely proportional to the quantity $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$. Since the Nusselt number Nu equals $\frac{2}{\delta_1 z} \sqrt{\text{Re}}$, Nu is, for a

large σ , proportional to $\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ also according to this approximate theory.

Since r, U, a_V , and δ_l are known functions of x, we have in any case an equation of the first order with z and x which can be solved with customary methods (for instance, with the isocline method

or according to Runge and Kutta). The only boundary condition required for this is the z-value at x=0. This value is calculated from the equation $\lambda z \sigma P = 1$ where $\lambda = U' \delta_1^2$ is identical with the parameter λ used by Pohlhausen and Tomotika. For the sphere where U' = 3 and $\lambda = 4.716$, one obtains in the proximity of the stagnation point

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} = 0.8759z^3 - 0.2648z^4 + 0.01809z^5 + 0.00561z^6 \text{ or, respectively,}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} = 1.4148z^2 - 1.2295z + 0.5052 - \frac{0.0746}{\sigma^2} + \frac{0.0189}{\sigma^3}$$

For a given $\, z \,$ and therefore also given $\, \, {\rm Nu} \,$ one may easily calculate the corresponding $\, \sigma . \,$ For

z = 0.0 0.1 0.4 0.7 1.1 1.6 2.0 3.0 4.0, one obtains

$$Nu/\sqrt{Re}$$
 $\sqrt[3]{\sigma} = 1.526$ 1.511 1.464 1.418 1.356 1.284 1.232 1.128 1.049 and

$$1/\sqrt[3]{\sigma} = 0$$
 0.095 0.367 0.622 0.935 1.288 1.545 2.121 2.631

The quantity Nu/ $\sqrt{\text{Re}} \sqrt[3]{\sigma}$ is therefore, for a large σ , almost constant and varies in the proximity of the stagnation point about linearly with $1/\sqrt[3]{\sigma}$.

The reason for choosing, above, z instead of δ as the dependent variable was that z probably varies little with x (compare Kroujouline (ref. 1)) and can therefore be calculated exactly more easily.

In the later more detailed report on the investigations, the numerical results of this formulation as well as of others will be discussed. It was shown that the choice of the profile form had a great effect on the result.

SUMMARY

A preliminary report is given of a theoretical investigation of the boundary-layer flow for two-dimensional and rotationally symmetrical bodies. The evaporation, the heat transfer, and the velocity are calculated by power-series developments with respect to the meridian length.

The coefficient functions which were calculated numerically in some cases have been chosen so that the calculation is valid for all pressure distributions and body shapes. The methods for determination of the errors in breaking off the series are briefly treated. Methods of continuation are discussed. It is shown, for large Prandtl numbers, that the Nusselt number is exactly proportional to the cube root of the Prandtl number. Finally, approximate methods of calculation are discussed.

EPILOGUE

I should like to express my deepest gratitude to the director of the local institute, Professor Dr. G. Borelius who made working facilities available to me and cooperated with me in the friendliest manner during the investigation.

I want to thank also my teacher, the director of the Physical Institute of the University Lund, Professor Dr. J. Koch where I carried out the previous investigations, for his lasting interest which is so very valuable to me.

To Professor Dr. T. Gustafson, Lund, I am obliged for valuable discussions.

I also owe a debt of gratitude to Kungl. Fysiografiska Sallskapet i Lund which gave me financial support by hiring an assistant for the numerical calculations.

Stockholm, Tekniska Högskolans Fysiska Institut, February 1940.

Translated by Mary L. Mahler National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics

REFERENCES

- 1. Kroujiline: Tech. Phys. U. S. S. R. 3, 183 und 311, 1936.
- 2. Squire, not published: Ref. Goldstein, Modern Developments in Fluid Dynamics II, 631, 1938, Oxford.
- 3. Pohlhausen, K.: Z. S. angew. Math. u. Mech. 1, 252, 1921.
- 4. Von Kármán and Millikan: NACA Rep. 504, 1934.
- 5. Blasius: Diss. Göttingen, 1907.
- 6. Hiemenz: Diss. Göttingen, 1911.
- 7. Howarth: Aeron. Res. Comm., Rep & Mem., Nr. 1632, 1935.
- 8. Prandtl: Z. S. angew. Math. u. Mech. 18, 77, 1938.
- 9. Görtler: Z. S. angew. Math. u. Mech. 19, 129, 1939.
- 10. Ulsamer: Forsch. auf d. Geb. d. Ing. 3, 94, 1932.
- 11. Frössling: Gerl. Beitr. zur Geophysik 52, 170, 1938.
- 12. Goldstein: s. o. 2., p. 609.
- 13. Boltze: Diss. Göttingen, 1908.
- 14. Geiger-Scheel: Handb. d. Phys. III, p. 631.
- 15. Hartree: Cambr. Phil. Soc., Proc. 33, 223, 1937.
- 16. Pohlhausen, E.: Z. S. angew. Math. u. Mech. 1, 115, 1921.
- 17. Fage: Aeron. Res. Comm., Rep. & Mem., Nr. 1766, 1937.
- 18. Tomotika u. Imai: Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Jap. 20, 288, 1938.

TABLE 1. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL FLOW BOUNDARY LAYER

η	£З	£3 '	£3 "	8 5	8 5 '	8 5"	h ₅	h ₅ '	h ₅ "
0.0	0 .0035	0 .0675	0.72 ¹ 11 .62 ¹ 19	0.0030	0	0.6348	0	0	0.1192
.2	.0132	.1251	.5286 .4375	.01.14	.1072	-4402	.0017	.0141	.0249
•4 •5	.0476 .0705	.2129 .2129	.3539 .2780	.0405	.1778	.2717	.0045	.0117	0436
.6	.0962 .1240	.2688 .2869	.2112	.0806	.2184	.1408	.0057 .0052	0010	0783
.8	.1534 .1838	.2997 .3080	.1037 .0626	.1264 .1502	.2367	.0483	.0039	0176	0833
1.0	.2149	.3125 .3140	.0292	.1742	. 2399	0106	0012	0330	0680
1.2	.2776 .3088	.3132	0173	.2218 .2449	.2342	0431	0090	0441	0423
1.4 1.5 1.6	.3397 .3702 .4002	.3070 .3025 .2974	0420 0482 0513	.2676 .2897 .3112	.2239	0567 0580	0185 0236 0286	0498 0503	.0088
1.7	.4297 .4587	.2923	0518 0506	.3322	.2012	0522	0336 0384	0468	.0256
1.9	.4871	.2822 .2775	0480	.3724 .3918	.1916	0432	0430 0472	0406	.0351
2.1	.5426 .5698	.2733 .2695	0402 0358	.4108 .4293	.1839	0335	0510 0546	0331	.0380
2.4	.5966 .6230	.2662 .2632	0314 0271	.4476 .4655	.1781	0245	0577 0604	0257	.0361
2.5	.6492	.2607 .2586	0230	.4832 .5007	.1740	0171	0628 0649	0189	.0312
2.7	.7010 .7266 .7520	.2568 .2554 .2542	0160 0131 0106	.5180 .5352 .5522	.1712	0114	0666 0681 0693	0133	.0249
3.0 3.1	.7774 .8027	.2533	0085	.5692 .5861	.1694	0072	0703 0711	0089	.0187
3.2	.8279 .8531	.2519	0052 0041	.6030	.1682	0043	0717	0058	.0132
3.4	.8782 .9033	.2511	0032 0024	.6365 .6533	.1676	0026	0726 0730	0036	.0089
3.6	•9284 •9534	.2506 .2504	0019 0014	.6700	.1672	0015	0732 0734	0021	.0057
3.8 3.9	.9785	.2503 .2502	0001	.7034	.1669	0010	0735 0736	0012	.0036
4.1	1.0285	.2502	0006	.7368 .7535	.1668	0004	0737	0006	.0022
4.2 4.3 4.4	1.0785	.2501 .2500	0003	.7701	.1667	0001	0738 0738	0003	.0012
4.5			0001	.8035 .8201 .8368		0001	0738 0739	0001	.0007
4.7				.0,00		0000		000	.0001
4.9									.0000

TABLE 2. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL TRANSITION BOUNDARY LAYER

η	1 - F _O	-Fo'	F ₂	F ₂ '	G ₁₄	G1₁ ¹	${ m H}_{ m 4}$	H) [†] ,
0.0	0	0.4959	0	-0.1119	0	-0.0977	0	0.0318
.2	.0991	.4953	0224	1113	0195	- 0970	.0064	.0320
.4	.1979	4917	OH43	1077	0386	0932	.0129	.0338
.6	.2954	.4825	0651	0988	0565	0846	•0200	.0378
.8	.3904	.4660	0835	0841	0721	0704	.0281	.0433
1.0	.4813	.4416	0983	0638	0843	0517	.0374	.0490
1.2	.5666	.4095	1087	0397	0926	0301	.0476	.0529
1.4	6447	.3708	1141	0138	0964	0078	.0583	.0530
1.6	.7146	3275	1143	.0111	0958	.0131	.0685	.0480
1.8	.7755	.2818	1099	.0328	0913	.0307	.0771	.0376
2.0	.8273	.2360	1015	.0496	0838	• ՕյհյեՕ	.0832	.0228
2.2	8701	.1924	0904	.0605	0741	.0521	.0861	.0054
2.4	.9045	.1526	0777	.0656	0633	•055 1 4	.0854	0123
2.6	9315	.1177	0645	.0654	0522	•05 44	.081.3	0281
2.8	.9520	.0883	0518	.0610	0417	.0502	.0744	0404
3.0	.9672	.0644	0403	.0540	0323	.0440	.0654	0481
3.2	.9781	.0457	0303	.0454	0242	.0368	.0555	0511
3.4	.9858	.0315	0221	.0366	0176	.0295	.0453	0500
3.6	.9910	.0211	0156	.0283	0124	.0227	.0356	0458
3.8	.9944	.0138	0107	.0211	0084	.0168	.0271	0396
4.0	.9966	.0088	0071	.0151	0056	.0119	.0199	0325
4.2	.9980	.0054	0046	.0104	0036	.0082	.0141	0254
4.4	.9989	.0032	0029	.0070	0022	.0055	.0097	0191
4.6	•9994	.0019	0018	.0045	0014	.0035	.0064	0137
4.8	.9996	.0011	0010	.0028	0008	.0021	.0041	0095
5.0	.9998	.0006	0006	.0017	0005	.0013	.0026	0063
5.2	•9999	.0003	0003	.0010	0003	.0008	.0015	0040
5.4	1.0000	.0002	0002	.0006	0002	.0005	.0009	0025
5.6	1	.0001	0001	.0003	0001	.0003	.0005	0015
5.8		.0000	0000	.0002	0000	.0002	.0003	0009
6.0				.0001		.0000	.0001	0005
6.2	 			.0000			.0001	0002
6.4	ļ (.0000	0001
6.6						İ		0001
6.8	l							0000

TABLE 3. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL FLOW BOUNDARY LAYER

η	fl	f _l '	f ₁ "	Вз	8 3'	g ₃ "	h ₃	h3'	^њ 3"
0.12345678901234567890123	0 .0046 .0179 .0395 .0689 .1056 .1490 .1988 .2544 .3153 .3811 .4514 .5255 .6846 .8550 .9437 1.1264 1.2208 1.31466 1.5072 1.6045 1.7024 1.8094 1.9984 2.1971 2.2966 2.3963 2.4961	0 .0903 .17558 .33114 .4669 .52558 .5345 .4669 .52545 .761548 .5254 .761548 .5254 .761548 .5254 .761548 .5254 .925	0.9277778826099888334404550886485655538844404550855558883440655085555888445095508555645000000000000000000000000000000	0 .0051 .0196 .0427 .0732 .11532 .2008 .2524 .3076 .4239 .42	0 09866002554420000175300000000000000000000000000000000	**************************************	0 .000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	0 .0044 .0090 .0133 .0176 .0217 .0254 .0254 .0346 .0346 .0346 .0346 .0346 .0346 .0358 .0158 .0158 .0158 .0158 .0158 .0158 .0054 .0055 .0044 .0035 .0028	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.0356 0.03
2.1 2.2 3.4 5.6 7.8 9.0 1.2 3.1 2.3 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3	1.5148 1.4106 1.5072 1.6045 1.7024 1.8007 1.8994 2.0977 2.1971 2.2966 2.3963	.9530 .9622 .9698 .9760 .9811 .9853 .9886 .9912 .9932 .9949 .9962 .9979 .9989 .9989	.0996 .0831 .0688 .0564 .0458 .0370 .0294 .0184 .0143 .0110	1.0296 1.0867 1.1430 1.1984 1.2530 1.3669 1.4651 1.4651 1.5169 1.5683 1.6195	.5755 .5666 .5580 .5500 .5425 .5298 .5245 .5245 .5101 .5128 .5102	0898 0876 0834 0776 0709 0637 0563 0490 0420 0356 0297 0245	.0572 .0594 .0613 .0630 .0645 .0657 .0668 .0677 .0684 .0690 .0695	.0233 .0207 .0182 .0158 .0136 .0116 .0097 .0081 .0067 .0054 .0044	0256 0252 0243 0229 0212 0193 0174 0133 0114 0097 0082
0.90123456789012 4444445555	3.0955 3.1955 3.2955	.9996 .9997 .9998 .9999 .9999 .9999 .9999	.0014 .0010 .0007 .0005 .0004 .0003 .0002 .0001 .0001	1.9727 2.0229 2.0730	.5025 .5015 .5011 .5008 .5004 .5003 .5002 .5001 .5001	0046 0034 0026 0019 0010 0007 0005 0004 0002 0001 0001 0000	.0712	.0006 .0004 .0002 .0002 .0002 .0000	0016 0013 0009 0007 0006 0004 0002 0001 0001

TABLE 4. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL

FLOW BOUNDARY

η	g ₅	g ₅ '	g ₅ "	h ₅	h5'	h ₅ "	k ₅	k5'	k ₅ "	J 5	j 5'	J 5"	q ₅	95'	95"
0.0	0	0	0.90541	0	0	0.0506	o	0	0.1768	o	0	0.0291	0	o	-0.02 /1/1
.2	.0168	.1612	.7075	.0010	.0101	.0500	.0029		0790		.0058			0049	0242
.4	.0619	.2838	.5210	.0040	.0198	.0467	.0090	.0324		.0022	.01.07		0019		0230
.6	.1279	.3709	<i>-35</i> 41	.0089	.0285	.0396	.0148	.0241	0724	.0047	.0137			0140	0203
.8	.2082	.4270	.2123	0153	.0354	.0289	.0179	.0051	1132	0075	.0134		0075		0164
1.0	.2971	.4576	.0984	0229	.0399	.0159	.0165	0195	1284	.0099	.0096	0280	0113	0204	0115
1.2	.3899	.4683	.01.28	.0311	.0417	.0024	.0101	0447		.0111	.0025		0156		0062
1.4	.4834	. 4645	0459	.0394	.0409	0099	0011	0665	0948	.0108	0064	0467	0201	0229	0008
1.6	.5751	4515	0808	.0473	.0379	0195	0161	0819	0585	.0085	0156	0437	0247	0226	.0043
1.8	.6637	4335	0964	-0544	.0334				~ 0194	.0046	0234	0336	- 0291	0212	.0085
2.0	-7484		0974	.0606	.0279			0899	.0161	0006	0287		0331		.0117
2.2	.8293		0888	.0656	.0223				.0432	0067	- 0310	0037	0367	0167	.0136
2.4	.9066		0750	.0695				0733	.0599	0129	0304			0139	.0142
2.6	.9810		0594	.0724	.0124		0981	0605		0187	0275	.0187	0423	0111	.0136
	1.0530		0445	0745	.0086	0165	1089	0474	.0 642				0442		.0122
	1.1251	-3473	0317	.0760		0122				0279		.0241	0457	0062	.0103
	[1.1920]		0215	.0769		0086				0311			0467		.0082
	1.2601		0139	.0775		0058			.0350	0334	0097		0474		.0061
	1.3275		0086	.0778		0037				0351		.0138	 0 479	0019	*00/H
	1.3947			0780		0022				[0361		.0099	0482	0012	.0030
	1.4616		0029	.0781	.0004			0040		0368			0484		.0019
	1.5284		0016	.0782		0007				0372			0485		.0012
	1.5951		0008	.0782			1337			0374			0486		.0007
4.6	1.6618		0004	.0782		0002				0375					.0004
4.8	1.7285	3334	0002	.0783	.0000		- 1340		.0012	0376	0002		0486		.0002
	1.7952	3334	0001	0783		0000				0376		4000.	0486	0000	.0001
	1.8618	3334	0000	.0783		0000				- 0376			0486	0000	.0000
5.4	1.9285	. 3333	0000	.0783	.0000	0000	1340	[0000		0376	0000	.0001		l	l
5.6				1					.0000			.0000	1	}	

TABLE 5. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRICAL

TRANSFER BOUNDARY LAYER

σ	-(F _O ') _O
0.5	0.4129
.7	.4705
1	.5390
1/0.395	.7599
10	1.2389
100	2.7365

TABLE 6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL TRANSFER
BOUNDARY LAYER. σ LARGE

Ę	1 - ©o	-#0°	\$ 2	ඉ ව '
0.1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.9.0.1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.5.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.5.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.3.4.5.6.7.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	0 .1120 .2235 .3337 .4409 .5430 .6378 .7228 .7962 .8567 .9043 .9396 .9891 .9891 .9897 .9951 .9979 .9999 .9999 .9999	1.1198 1.1187 1.1109 1.0900 1.0504 .9883 .9023 .7947 .6711 .5402 .4120 .2959 .1989 .1245 .0720 .0383 .0186 .0082 .0033 .0012 .0004 .0001	0 0479 0952 1401 1801 2118 2320 2384 2301 2083 1765 1395 1023 0693 0432 0128 0010 0026 0010 0000	-0.4799478046474293363726471361 .0099 .1541 .2747 .3530 .3794 .3565 .2981 .2232 .1500 .0904 .0488 .0236 .0102 .0040 .0014 .0001 .0000